



Henry.T.Dziegiel@fakeaddress.net on 07/06/2001 04:47:28 PM

010261

RECEIVED

JUL 09 2001

To: EISR, Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE
cc:

Subject: SDEIS Comment

Part of Records Package / Supplement / Correction

July 06, 2001 16:47:28

IP address: 198.81.16.37

---> Commentors Name: Henry T Dziegiel P.E.
---> Organization: The Polish Cowboy
---> Position: Executive Director

---> The Commentors Address:
---> 2657 Windmill Pkwy #379
---> Henderson, Nevada 89074

---> Email Information:
---> NoNuclearWaste@aol.com
---> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

---> Contact Information:
---> fax number : 702-2709285
---> phone number : 702-2709285
---> organization : The Polish Cowboy
---> position : Executive Director

---> Comment Text :

1 | 1) The emergency response procedures are not adequately addressed for Accident in the
| Las Vegas Valley or other Population Centers. Coordination with all local and state
| agencies.

2 | 2) Define the Capable Faults under the NRC regulations for Nuclear Generating Station
| Siting. No design basis given for differential ground displacement from one of the
| faults going through the underground repository.

Provide accident analysis for this Design Basis Accident, where a major tunnel was
damaged. Address retrievability. Since the drip shields are to be installed later.
Addressed accelerated corrosion and release for this Design Basis.

3 | 3) Could a Nuclear Generating Station be sited at Yucca Mountain? Is the criteria for
| 1000's of cores less demanding than a single core? Explain rationale from a scientific
| and engineering point of view not the political excuse "They Made me do it!"

4 | 4) The impact on the proposed Native American Indian Cultural Center at either the
| Moapa River or Las Vegas Reservations has not been addressed? What is the socioeconomic
| impact of four shipments a day by these proposed Centers? What is potential
| Attendance.

5) Address the impact of rail shipments from Caliente to Apex on the proposed Tourist

Train from the Moapa River Reservation to Caliente via Rainbow Canyon.

6) Explain how and where the Heavy Haul Shipping Casts are loaded. Current Spent Fuel Building have limits on cask size. Define the limit for all existing nuclear stations.

7) The preferred design of the repository shall to selected. If the existing spent fuel is too hot for immediate burial then it should stay out of Nevada.

8) The security measures for the Transportation of the Spent Fuel shall be identified before a Final EIS is issued. A public comment period and public hearing shall be provided.

9) Define the NRC's licensing process. When will the NRC make a determination on the Scientific and Engineering suitability for a geologic repository? Before or after the DOE's recommendation.

10) What is DOE's justification on not using appropriate engineering and scientific methodology to identify the best geologic repository? Use of Political decisions to solve engineering problems is not a good rationale!

11) The submittal of comments on this website is poorly designed. There is no way to paste in comments from a word processor. Further, a copy of the submitted comments is not sent to preparer or others for a record.

12) Yucca Mountain should not be used as an above ground Interim Storage area to meet the Federal Government's obligation to take ownership of the Spent Fuel. Interim Storage near the Stations should be sited to age the Spent Fuel if needed. Also, Reprocessing and Transuranic mutation options to reduce the High level waste should be addressed in the DEIS under the Alternative to the Project Section.

I need more time to fully review all the Yucca Mountain documents. I request another 45 days.

~~~~~